

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/976,876 | 10/12/2001 | Anthony Rodrigo | NOKM.014PA | 2171 | |
| 759 | 90 12/12/2006 | | EXAM | INER | |
| Hollingsworth & Funk, LLC | | | RUDY, ANDREW J | | |
| Suite 125 8009 34th Avenue South | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Minneapolis, MN 55425 | | | 3627 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/12/2000 | DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/976,876 | RODRIGO, ANTHONY | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Andrew Joseph Rudy | 3627 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 So | entember 2006 | | | | | |
| · | action is non-final. | • | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-61</u> is/are pending in the app | plication | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-48</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2, 4-24 and 49-61</u> is/are rejected. | • | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | , 0,00,10,1 ,10,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1, | | | | | |
| ·· _ | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | - | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | • | • | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action of form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicati | ion No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/976,876

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The previous 35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection is withdrawn pursuant to Applicant's September 26, 2006 Amendment and REMARKS.
- 2. Claims 49-61 are rejoined pursuant to Applicant's September 26, 2006

 Amendment and REMARKS. Claims 25-40 remain withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-24 and 41-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginzboorg et al., US 6,047,051.

Ginzboorg discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-10, an independent billing server between an Internet service provider, e.g. Fig. 9b, S3, and nine different types of charging elements, e.g. charging messages, network elements, e.g. Ginzboorg does not disclose the term bridge modules. However, Official Notice is taken that bridge modules have been common knowledge within the processing art. To have provides such for Ginzboorg would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. With regards to rules, the processor of Ginzboorg would inherently provide data processing rules in order to sift through any processing that is carried out. It is noted intended use claim language, e.g. for managing, capable of, is given little if any patentable weight in juxtaposition to positively recited claim language, e.g. coupling, a processor.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,876 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Applicant's September 26, 2006 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,876

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender, can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627